

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,191	05/25/2001	Nancy J. Rabenold	16628-14	4604
35856	7590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER	
LAVA GROUP LAW BY SMITH & FROHWEIN, LLC			HAYES, JOHN W	
P.O. BOX 88 ATLANTA,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n N . Applicant(s) O9/866,191 RABENOLD ET AL. Examiner Art Unit John W Hayes 3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is la no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exteres fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exteres are under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension on; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	те
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 83.	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 74 and 78.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	
John W Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of references to Friedland and Dinwoodie disclose all the claim limitations with respect to claims 74 and 78. More specifically, applicant has argued that the reference to Dinwoodie does not disclose an event based system and it would not have been obvious to combine this reference with Friedland. Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes (as further described in the previous office action) that the Dinwoodie reference discloses many actions that the auctioneer may controlproviding a teaching that the auction system of Dinwoodie is event based since the auctioneer controls the events. Claim 83 is indicated as allowable over the prior art of record.